1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Stephen M. Doniger (SBN 179314) stephen@donigerlawfirm.com Scott A. Burroughs (SBN 235718) scott@donigerlawfirm.com Trevor W. Barrett (SBN 287174) tbarrett@donigerlawfirm.com Justin M. Gomes (SBN 301793) jgomes@donigerlawfirm.com DONIGER / BURROUGHS 603 Rose Avenue Venice, California 90291 Telephone: (310) 590-1820 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ROYAL PRINTEX, INC., a California Corporation, Plaintiff, v. ALLY FASHION, PTY LTD, an Australian Proprietary Company, individually and doing business as ALLY FASHION, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, Defendants.	Case No.: PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR: 1. COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; 2. VICARIOUS AND/OR CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT Jury Trial Demanded
 23 24 25 26 27 28 	Plaintiff ROYAL PRINTEX, INC., be hereby prays to this honorable Court for rel	

COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action arises under the Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 U.S.C., § 101 et seq.
- 2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1338 (a) and (b).
- 3. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) and 1400(a) in that this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, ROYAL PRINTEX, INC ("ROYAL PRINTEX") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business located in the Los Angeles County.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant ALLY FASHION, PTY LTD is a proprietary company organized and existing under the laws of Australia, and is doing business as ALLY FASHION, INC., (which is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California), (collectively "ALLY") and is doing business in and with the state of California.
- 3. Defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are other parties not yet identified who have infringed Plaintiff's copyrights, have contributed to the infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, or have engaged in one or more of the wrongful practices alleged herein. The true names, whether corporate, individual or otherwise, of Defendants 1 through 10, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiff, which therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names, and will seek leave to amend this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when same have been ascertained.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant hereto each of the Defendants was the agent, affiliate, officer, director,

manager, principal, alter-ego, and/or employee of the remaining Defendants and was 1 2 at all times acting within the scope of such agency, affiliation, alter-ego relationship and/or employment; and actively participated in or subsequently ratified and 3 adopted, or both, each and all of the acts or conduct alleged, with full knowledge of 4 all the facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, full knowledge of each 5 and every violation of Plaintiff's rights and the damages to Plaintiff proximately 6 7 caused thereby. **CLAIM RELATED TO DESIGN R8821** 8 Prior to the conduct complained of herein, Plaintiff composed an original 9

- 5. Prior to the conduct complained of herein, Plaintiff composed an origina two-dimensional artwork for purposes of textile printing, which is set forth hereinbelow. It allocated this artwork Plaintiff's internal design number R8821 (hereinafter the "Subject Design"). This artwork was a creation of Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's design team, and is, and at all relevant times was, owned exclusively by Plaintiff.
- 6. Plaintiff applied for and received a United States Copyright Registration for the Subject Design. The registration was assigned No. VA 1-130-654 by the U.S. Copyright Office, and became effective as of February 8, 2013.
- 7. Prior to the acts complained of herein, Plaintiff sampled and sold fabric bearing Subject Design to numerous parties in the fashion and apparel industries. A true and correct image of the Subject Design is presented below:

///

22 | ///

23 | ///

2425

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

26

27

28



8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, following this distribution of fabric bearing Subject Design, ALLY, and certain DOE Defendants created, sold, manufactured, caused to be manufactured, imported and distributed fabric and/or garments comprised of fabric bearing an unauthorized reproduction of Subject Design ("Subject Product"). Such Subject Products include but are not limited to COMBI garments sold under, *inter alia*, SKU or Style No. SK1268P-4SLA. Such Subject Product bore the "Ally Fashion" label, and identifying information indicating said garments were manufactured by, caused to be manufactured by, or supplied to ALLY. Comparisons of the Subject Design and the design on the Subject Product, and comparisons of certain details from these designs, are set forth below:

- 4 -

Subject Design



Subject Product



Subject Design Detail



Subject Product Detail



9. A comparison of the Subject Design and the non-exclusive exemplar of Subject Product makes apparent that the elements, composition, colors, arrangement, layout, and appearance of the designs are substantially similar.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(For Copyright Infringement – Against All Defendants, and Each)

10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, had access to Subject Design, including, without limitation, through (a) access to Plaintiff's showroom and/or design library; (b) access to illegally

distributed copies of the Subject Design by third-party vendors and/or DOE Defendants, including without limitation international and/or overseas converters and printing mills; (c) access to Plaintiff's strike-offs and samples, and (d) garments manufactured and sold to the public bearing some of the many yards of the lawful fabric provided by Unicolors to its customers. Access is also established by the striking similarity between the designs at issue.

- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that one or more of the Defendants manufactures garments and/or is a garment vendor. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that said Defendant(s) has an ongoing business relationship with Defendant retailers, and each of them, and supplied garments to said retailer, which garments infringed the Subject Design in that said garments were composed of fabric which featured unauthorized print design(s) that were identical or substantially similar to the Subject Design, or were an illegal derivation or modification thereof.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, infringed Plaintiff's copyright by creating, making, and/or developing directly infringing and/or derivative works from the Subject Design and by producing, distributing and/or selling garments which infringe the Subject Design through a nationwide network of retail stores, catalogues, and through on-line websites.
- 13. Due to Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered substantial damages to its business in an amount to be established at trial.
- 14. Due to Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered general and special damages in an amount to be established at trial.
- 15. Due to Defendants' acts of copyright infringement as alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they would not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of the Subject Design. As

such, Plaintiff is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to Defendants' infringement of the Subject Design in an amount to be established at trial.

16. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, have committed acts of copyright infringement, as alleged above, which were willful, intentional and malicious, which further subjects Defendants, and each of them, to liability for statutory damages under Section 504(c)(2) of the Copyright Act in the sum of up to one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) per infringement. Within the time permitted by law, Plaintiff will make its election between actual damages and statutory damages.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(For Vicarious and/or Contributory Copyright Infringement - Against All Defendants)

- 17. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants knowingly induced, participated in, aided and abetted in and profited from the illegal reproduction and subsequent sales of garments featuring the Subject Design as alleged herein.
- 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, are vicariously liable for the infringement alleged herein because they had the right and ability to supervise the infringing conduct and because they had a direct financial interest in the infringing conduct.
- 20. By reason of the Defendants', and each of their, acts of contributory and vicarious infringement as alleged above, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial damages to its business in an amount to be established at trial, as

8

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

well as additional general and special damages in an amount to be established at trial.

- 21. Due to Defendants', and each of their, acts of copyright infringement as alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they would not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of the Subject Design. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to Defendants' infringement of the Subject Design, in an amount to be established at trial.
- 22. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, have committed acts of copyright infringement, as alleged above, which were willful, intentional and malicious, which further subjects Defendants, and each of them, to liability for statutory damages under Section 504(c)(2) of the Copyright Act in the sum of up to one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) per infringement. Within the time permitted by law, Plaintiff will make its election between actual damages and statutory damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

Against All Defendants

- 23. With Respect to Each Claim for Relief
 - a. That Defendants, each of them, and their agents and employees be enjoined from infringing Plaintiff's copyrights in any manner, specifically those for the Subject Design;
 - b. That Defendants, and each of them, account to Plaintiff for their profits and any damages sustained by Plaintiff arising from the foregoing acts of infringement, the exact sum to be proven at the time of trial, or, if elected before final judgment, statutory damages as available under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.;

1	c. That Plaintiff be awarded its attorneys' fees as available under the	
2	Copyright Act U.S.C. § 101 et seq.;	
3	d. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest as allowed by law;	
4	e. That Plaintiff be awarded the costs of this action; and	
5	f. That Plaintiff be awarded such further legal and equitable relief as the	
6	Court deems proper.	
7	Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.	
8	38 and the 7 th Amendment to the United States Constitution.	
9	Respectfully submitted,	
10		
11	Dated: August 4, 2015 By: <u>/s/ Scott Alan Burroughs</u> Scott Alan Burroughs, Esq.	
12	Trevor W. Barrett, Esq.	
13	Justin M. Gomes, Esq. DONIGER / BURROUGHS	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
15	ROYAL PRINTEX, INC.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	- 9 -	
28	- / - / -	